# The Optimal Stability Window hypothesis and *Neocalanus* concentrations on the Gulf of Alaska shelf during May, 1998 - 2002

Kenneth O. Coyle Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Alaska 99775 USA

Long Term Observation Program Zooplankton Component Gulf of Alaska GLOBEC





# Optimum Stability Window Hypothesis

Gargett (1997) Fisheries Oceanography 6: 109-117

Attempts to explain the observation that salmon year class strength is out of phase between the Gulf of Alaska and the northwest coast (British Columbia, Washington, Oregon, California)

### **Assumptions**:

- 1) Primary production is light limited on Gulf of Alaska (GOA) shelf but nutrient limited on the northwest coast.
- 2) Turbulence lowers production by mixing phytoplankton below the compensation depth on the GOA shelf but enhances production by mixing nutrients through the pycnocline on the northwest coast.
- 3) Water column stability is a function of salinity on the GOA shelf but a function of upwelling favorable winds on the northwest coast.



Weak upwelling on west coast

Strong upwelling on west coast

Modified from Gargett (1997)

## **Hypothesis and Predictions**

Hypothesis: Greater precipitation and runoff during periods of a strong Aleutian Low will lead to higher overall production by reducing mixing and stabilizing the water column of the GOA shelf.

Predictions:

- 1) Strong Aleutian low should promote production on the GOA shelf by stabilizing the water column.
- 2) Zooplankton abundance and biomass should be positively correlated to the stability coefficient.
- 3) Zooplankton abundance and biomass should be negatively correlated to salinity above the pycnocline.

# Methods

- Large zooplankton were collected with 1-m<sup>2</sup> square MOCNESS with 500 µm mesh. Samples collected in 20 m depth increments from 100 m to the surface at night.
- Small zooplankton were collected with a 25 cm diameter CalVET net with 150 µm mesh. Vertical tows from 100 m to surface during the day.
- Water column profiles were collected with a Seabird model
  911 plus CTD and fluorometer.
- 4. Water column stability was computed using the stratification parameter: (Simpson et al. 1977).

$$\overline{\upsilon} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^{0} (\rho - \overline{\rho}) gz dz; \quad \overline{\rho} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h}^{0} \rho dz \qquad \qquad h = \text{water column depth} \\ \overline{\upsilon} = \text{work required to redistribute the} \qquad \qquad z = \text{verticle coordinate} \\ \text{mass during complete mixing.} \qquad \qquad \rho = \text{density}$$

### Mean Biomass of major calanoids by month





### Neocalanus flemingeri plumchrus life cycle

Modified from Mackas et al. (1998)

### Average depth distribution for *Neocalanus flemingeri*, May, 1998 – 2002 Average salinity and temperature distribution, May 1998 – 2002.





### Neocalanus characteristics on the GOA shelf

- The *Neocalanus flemingeri* is an oceanic animal, its distribution is not constrained by a physiological response to oceanic salinity.
- They reside in the upper wind-mixed layer during their growth phase and are therefore mixed across the shelf.
- They dominate the zooplankton biomass during May.
- They undergo their largest growth per animal in April May – June. April – May – June is therefore a critical foraging period.
- They are integrators of production conditions for the critical period (April May June).
- There are significant interannual differences in mean abundance and biomass during May.

Total Redundancy: 31%; Canonical R: 0.55; p = 0.00582

Correlation between major water column properties and abundance of <i>Neocalanus plumchrus-flemingeri</i> during May, 1998 - 2002		
Upper Mixed Temperature	-0.031527	
Lower Mixed Temperature	0.217810	
Mean Water Column Temperature	0.176241	
Potential Energy (Stability)	-0.270128	
Upper Mixed Salinity	-0.423168	
Lower Mixed Salinity	-0.448230	
Mean Salinity	-0.445252	

Total Redundancy: 63%; Canonical R: 0.79; p = 0.0000027

Correlation between major water column properties and abundance of <i>Neocalanus plumchrus-flemingeri</i> during May, 1998 – 2002; outer nine stations		
Upper Mixed Temperature	0.025283	
Lower Mixed Temperature	0.421468	
Mean Water Column Temperature	0.343750	
Potential Energy (Stability)	-0.223003	
Upper Mixed Salinity	-0.757058	
Lower Mixed Salinity	-0.768888	
Mean Salinity	-0.783464	

Salinity and Copepod abundance; Seward Line; May Line is 32.4









From a poster at ASLO, 2000, by Childers, Whitledge, Stockewll, Weingartner, Danielson, Coyle: Major nutrient distributions in relation to the physical structure of the Gulf of Alaska shelf.



Iron concentrations (Wu, personal communication)



Wu, Jingfeng: measured iron on the Seward Line in the upper mixed layer during May, 2004

## **Summary of observations**

- 1) Copepod abundance is strongly and negatively correlated to salinity.
- 2) Copepod abundance shows little or negative correlation to water column stability.
- 3) Copepod abundance shows little or no correlation to upper mixed temperatures.
- 4) When copepod abundance is low, intrusion of oceanic water onto the shelf constrains the mixing zone to a narrow band near the outer edge of the coastal current.
- 5) When copepod abundance is high, the mixing zone is spread across the shelf from the coastal current to the shelf break front.
- 6) Macronutrient concentrations are positively correlated to salinity.
- 7) Iron concentrations are near threshold detection levels in the mixed layer at the shelf break but about an order of magnitude higher in the Alaska Coastal Current.

## Conclusion

Although a consistent negative correlation is observed between the abundance of major copepod species and salinity, enhanced productivity due to greater water column stability (as postulated by the Optimum Stability Window hypothesis) is not supported by observations.

Observations suggest that elevated production occurs in the mixing zone between oceanic and ACC waters. Conditions which broaden the mixing zone will result in elevated copepod abundance and biomass.

The most likely explanation for the observations is that mixing between high-nutrient, low-iron oceanic water and high-iron, low-nutrient ACC water promotes elevated primary production in the mixing zone, leading to elevated production of zooplankton forage.

A strong Aleutian Low is likely to promote zooplankton production on the shelf by increasing the volume of freshwater runoff on the shelf, thus injecting iron into the system, broadening the mixing zone and elevating production across the shelf.

# Thank you

## Other participants in the LTOP project:

### **Physical Oceanography**

Tom Weingartner Tom Royer Seth Danielson

#### **Nutrients - Phytoplankton**

Terry Witledge Dean Stockwell

### Zooplankton

Alexei Pinchuk Russell Hopcroft

**Fish** Lew Halderson Jennifer Boldt

Questions?

Total Redundance: 61%; Canonical R: 0.71; p = 0.00000

Correlation between major water column properties and abundance of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> spp. during July and August, 1998 - 2002		
Upper Mixed Temperature	0.121350	
Lower Mixed Temperature	0.653928	
Mean Water Column Temperature	0.454451	
Potential Energy (Stability)	0.270545	
Upper Mixed Salinity	-0.413925	
Lower Mixed Salinity	-0.554767	
Mean Salinity	-0.549876	

Total Redundance: 62%; Canonical R: 0.79; p = 0.00000

Correlation between major water column properties and abundance of <i>Pseudocalanus</i> spp. during May, 1998 - 2002		
Upper Mixed Temperature	0.404935	
Lower Mixed Temperature	0.251569	
Mean Water Column Temperature	0.282027	
Potential Energy (Stability)	0.295794	
Upper Mixed Salinity	-0.571260	
Lower Mixed Salinity	-0.614358	
Mean Salinity	-0.580635	





### CalVET samples on Seward Line July 1998 and July 2002 Salinity Line is 32.1







#### Iron concentrations vs Salinity (Wu, personal communication)



Lowest iron concentration is associated with salinity under 32.

