The Forcing of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation

Niklas Schneider International Pacific Research Center, University of Hawaii



Impact on ocean ecosystem



Figure 4. A graphical depiction of the "Inverse Production Regimes" of Hare et al. (1999). The bars represent loadings from a principal component analysis (PCA) of 30 salmon time series for the period 1925-1997. Regional definitions are as follows: 1 – Western Alaska, 2 – Central Alaska, 3 – Southeast Alaska, 4 – British Columbia, 5 – Washington, 6 – Oregon, 7 – California. Three climate indices were included in the PCA: Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), Aleutian Low Pressure Index (AL) and the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). The longest bar, Central Alaska pink salmon, represents a correlation coefficient with a value of 0.855, and represents the correlation between that time series and the illustrated temporal component (score) from the PCA.

Hypotheses for Pacific decadal variability





Leading mode of N. Pacific Variability, CCM3

EOF #1, 500 mb gph anom





Rossby Waves in the Kuroshio Extension



Telconnections from the Tropics



To understand the Pacific Decadal Oscillation

we need to understand the processes affecting the leading empirical orthogonal function of SST in the Pacific north of 20N

Approach

Reconstruct SST in the North Pacific from indices of intrinsic variability of the Aleutian Low El Nino

adjustment of the ocean circulation in the Kuroshio/Oyashio compare leading empirical orthogonal functions of SST reconstruction and observations

Data

NCEP/NCAR reanalysis sea surface temperature, sea level pressure and wind stress focus on July to June averages

The Hypothesis



SST and PDO reconstruction

Reconstruct SST from AR-1 process and forcing indices, evaluate leading EOF of reconstruction of SST, compare with observations.

$$\partial_t T(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})T(\mathbf{x}, t) + \gamma_i(\mathbf{x})\tilde{F}_i(t)$$

Integrate over one year, and form July to June averages

$$\overline{T(\mathbf{x},t)} = \underbrace{\gamma_i(\mathbf{x})}_{t-\delta} \overline{f_i(t')} e^{-\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})} \underbrace{(t-t')}_{t-\delta} + e^{-\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\delta} \overline{T(\mathbf{x},t-\delta)}$$
$$=: \gamma_i(\mathbf{x}) \qquad F_i(\mathbf{x},t) \qquad + \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \quad \overline{T(\mathbf{x},t-\delta)}$$

Orthogonalize forcing time series

PDO from observations and SST hindcast



Reconstruction of SST from NPI, NINO3.4, KOE indices



PDO dynamics

 $a_0(t) = \langle \alpha \Phi_k \Phi_0 \rangle a_k(t-1) + \langle \gamma_i \Phi_0 F_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle$



The PDO reconstruction





Conclusion

- The PDO results from a superposition of forcing by ENSO, NPI (interpreted as intrinsic mid-latitude variability), and zonal advection anomalies in the Kuroshio Extension P_{DEL} .
- The forcing footprints are non-orthogonal and determine the PDO.
- The contributions of the forcing are frequency dependent. At yearly time scales, NPI dominates, at interannual time scales anomalies NPI and ENSO are on par, at decadal time scale, NPI, ENSO and P_{DEL} are of equal importance.
- The `impact' of the PDO is a reflection of shared forcing.

Reconstruction skill





Reconstruction of the PDO

