A Tale of Two Chrysaora: Pivotal Roles in Contrasting Marine Ecosystems

Richard D. Brodeur¹, Mary Beth Decker², Elizabeth A. Daly³, Caren Barcelo⁴, James J. Ruzicka³, and Kristin Cieciel⁵

¹ NOAA Northwest Fisheries Science Center, Hatfield Marine Science Center, Newport, OR 97365 USA

² Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, 06520 USA

³ Cooperative Institute for Marine Resources Studies, Oregon State University, Newport, OR 97365 USA

⁴ College of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331 USA

⁵ NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratory, Juneau, AK 99801 USA





Introduction

- Large medusae make up a large proportion of the biomass and are major planktivores in pelagic ecosystems
- Forage fishes, including juveniles of midwater and demersal fishes, are also important pelagic planktivores in these systems and may be negatively affected by jellyfish when their distributions overlap
- High overlap could limit food resources available to forage fish, possibly affecting their size or recruitment, but this overlap has not been examined in detail in most ecosystems
- We examine the spatial overlap of the dominant jellyfish species with the important forage fish over multiple years and seasons from a dynamic upwelling area (California Current) and a productive Subarctic ecosystem (Bering Sea) in order to determine which species/years may be most impacted by jellyfish blooms

Study Areas





Tatoosh Island (11)	2 19 19 15 152 2 22 8 1292 :		
ramer and son (rs)	W = 8 12251	17 the	the state
La Push (LP)		P	
Queels River (QR)	33- 661		
Grays Harbor (GH)			
Willap a Bay (WB)		D 5 107 -	
Cape Falcon (CF)	2	3 20 15 107 31	
Cape Meares (CM)		20 15 19 ES	
Cascade Head (CH)	1 2 2 2 2	° '5 '0 '3'	tation Location Current 100 Fathom 9 Regular
Cape Perpetua (CP)		15 19 3 1	100 tahon/ent Discontinued Pegular 100 Fahom
126"00"10"	125 0 0 10	124700 00	123 00 00





West Coast Jellyfish Species Composition by Year

- Abundance varies interannually and seasonally
- Catch dominated by *Chrysaora* overall (>83%) and especially in September (>91%)



Biomass of Forage Fish vs. Chrysaora



(Ruzicka, Brodeur and Wainwright. 2007. CalCOFI Rep.)



Model of Northern California Current Ecosystem (2007)

Distributions of centers of gravity and variation for June cruises from 1999-2011

Distributions of centers of gravity and variation for September cruises from 1999-2011

Global index of collocation (GIC)

Calculates the extent to which two populations are geographically distinct, by comparing the distance between their *CGs* and the *mean distance* between individual fish taken at random and independently from each population.

$$GIC = 1 - \Delta CG^{2}$$
$$\Delta CG^{2} + I_{1} + I_{2}$$

Ranges between 0, in the extreme case where each population is concentrated on a single but different location, and 1, where the two CGs coincide.

Seasonal and interannual variability in the Global **Index of Collocation** between Chrysaora and forage fishes

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.2

0.0

1998

Global index of collocation

Northern anchovy

Interannual variability in the Global Index of Collocation between *Chrysaora* and forage species for each month

Cramér-von Mises* p-values for the difference between the spatial distributions of *Chrysaora* and forage fishes in June

Non-Sig. Diff.	9/13	9/13	7/13	
Year	Herring	Sardine	Anchovy	Regime
1999	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
2000	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
2001	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
2002	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.011	Cool
2003	n.s.	n.s.	< 0.001	
2004	0.006	n.s.	0.038	
2005	n.s.	n.s.	0.031	
2006	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
2007	n.s.	n.s.	0.018	
2008	< 0.001	< 0.001	n.s.	Cool
2009	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
2010	0.005	0.020	n.s.	
2011	n.s.	< 0.001	0.002	

Cramér-von Mises* p-values for the difference between the spatial distributions of *Chrysaora* and forage fishes in September

	Non-Sig. Diff.	9/13	8/13	10/13	
	Year	Herring	Sardine	Anchovy	Regime
	1999	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
	2000	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
	2001	n.s.	0.001	0.003	Cool
	2002	n.s.	0.001	0.025	Cool
	2003	n.s.	0.024	n.s.	
	2004	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	
	2005	n.s.	0.038	n.s.	
	2006	0.004	n.s.	0.006	Cool
	2007	0.044	n.s.	n.s.	
	2008	0.009	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
ſ	2009	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	Cool
	2010	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	
	2011	0.033	0.003	n.s.	

*From Syrjala (1996) Ecology ¹⁶

Upwelling Index at 45°N

BASIS Survey

2004-2011

BASIS Jellyfish Species Composition by Year

• Abundance varies interannually and geographically

 Catch dominated by *Chrysaora* in Northeast (>94%) and in Southeast (>89%)

Model of Bering Sea Ecosystem

-170

-165 -160 -165 -160

Comparison of Global Index of Collocation between *Chrysaora* and forage fishes in the Bering Sea

Cramér-von Mises* p-values for the difference between the spatial distributions of *Chrysaora* and forage fishes in the Bering Sea

Non-	Sig. Diff.	1/8	3/8	1/8	4/8
	Year	Herring	Pollock	Pacific cod	Capelin
	2004	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
	2005	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.018
	2006	0.014	< 0.001	< 0.001	n.s.
	2007	< 0.001	n.s.	< 0.001	n.s.
	2008	n.s.	n.s.	N.S.	n.s.
	2009	< 0.001	n.s.	0.003	< 0.001
	2010	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	n.s.
	2011	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.020

*From Syrjala (1996) Ecology

SE Bering Sea SST Anomalies

Bering Sea Climate Page

Conclusions

California Current

•*Chrysaora* had relatively high spatial overlap with dominant forage fishes for most years (>> 50% significant)

• Herring and sardine showed the highest overlap with *Chrysaora* distributions in May and anchovy had the highest overlap in September

Bering Sea

- *Chrysaora* had relatively low spatial overlap with dominant forage fishes for most years (≤ 50% significant)
- Capelin and pollock showed the most similar distributions to *Chrysaora*
- During 2008, the coldest year, *Chrysaora* distributions were shifted south and overlapped with all forage species

Future Studies

- •Examine diets of *Chrysaora* and forage fish in areas with high spatial overlap to see if they are potentially competing for the same prey resources
- •Do detailed analysis of environmental factors related to high and low overlap years
- Conduct multivariate community analysis by cruise to look for other species that may have high spatial overlap with *Chrysaora* and other jellyfish species

Acknowledgements

Funding: National Marine Fisheries Service, National Science Foundation CMG (Collaborations in Mathematical Geosciences) grant, and the Bonneville Power Administration

We wish to thank everyone who went to sea for diligently collecting the jellyfish data in the trawl surveys

Thank you!

