How has climate change impacted marine food-webs in the past, and how might we predict changes in the future?



John K. Pinnegar, Georg Engelhard, Julia Blanchard, Joe Scutt-Phillips, William Cheung

International Symposium: Climate Change Effects on Fish & Fisheries, Sendai, Japan. Session A2

Monday 26th April, 2010



Programme.....

- 1. The North Sea ecosystem
- 2. Changes in fish distribution (across Europe)
- 3. Climate vs fishing vs habitat modification
- 4. Consequences for fisheries
- 5. Consequences for food-webs
- 6. Predicting the future
- 7. Some conclusions



The North Sea Ecosystem:



ICES Stock-Assessment Areas

VHf

VIIe

VIId

VIIg

VIIh

Ha

IVa

IVh

IVc

IHa

•The North Sea is a semi-enclosed basin with a depth ranging from 30m – 200m •The ecosystem is dominated by soft-bottom habitats (sand, mud, gravel)

The north Sea harbours a wide range of fish stocks exploited mainly by: France, Germany, Belgium, Netherlands, Norway, England, Scotland, Denmark.

Changes in fish distribution (across Europe)



Ihermal performance

Temperature is one of the primary factors, together with food availability and suitable spawning grounds that determine the largescale distribution patterns of fish.

Because most fish species prefer a specific temperature range, an expansion or contraction of the distribution range often coincides with long-term changes in temperature and/or climate.

The recent warming trend in the northeast Atlantic has coincided with an apparent northward shift in the distribution of fish species from southerly latitudes

From Freitas et al. (2007)



A = cod B = monkfish C = snake blenny



Perry et al. (2005) demonstrated that distributions of both exploited and non-exploited North Sea fishes have changed markedly over the last 25 years.

Centres of distribution generally shifted by distances ranging from 48 to 403 km during the period 1977 – 2001.

These authors concluded that further temperature rises are likely to have a profound impact on commercial fisheries.

[see Science 308: 1912-1915]

Fish have also moved to deep waters....



Decadal change in depth anomaly (m per decade)

[see Dulvy et al. 2008] [See presentation B2-6054]

From 1980-2004, the North Sea fish assemblage 'deepened' by ~3.6 m per decade

The deepening response was more dramatic in comparison with the latitudinal response that had previously been reported

Coldwater species, like megrim and anglerfish, are deepening fastest with warm-water species (e.g. sole and bib) shallowing over time.

Distribution shifts: climate versus fishing?

There is much *controversy* around distribution shifts of North sea fishes over past 3 decades:

- 'Climate change hypothesis': warming climate causes warm-adapted species to expand northward, and/or cold-adapted species to contract at south-end of range
- *'Fishing pressure hypothesis':* fishing pressure has been consistently higher in the southern compared to northern North Sea, causing higher mortality in the south and hence, an 'apparent' distribution shift
- Other possible drivers include eutrophication, habitat modification









So far studies on North Sea fish distribution shifts have been based on survey data limited to most recent 3 decades:

Here, 9 decades of cod, haddock, sole and plaice distribution data were analysed

1913-2008: 'Statistical Charts' with cod, haddock, sole & plaice cpue by rectangle, for British steam and motor otter trawlers

Analyses of Scottish and English commercial catch data spanning the period 1913-2007, by Engelhard et al. (Cefas, Lowestoft) has revealed that the peak catches of target species such as cod, haddock, plaice and sole, have all shifted

	A	, B	, C	, D .	, E	. F	, G .	H	, J	K	L	, M	N	
1	1			州	14076	4112	lafat	626	1950	57				2
		1		300	145	374	409	495	213-6	3		-	-	L
	1.1		1213	14928	Thies	14971	7781	1620	Ansart	8				2
4	- 0.13		332	142	346	516	814	115	ANT OF	Pro-		-	_	4
	914	7864	10-21	O West	NIME.	12/08	31/4	2013	+3	5	-	Leta_	7	2
1	847	446	100	0 256	242	Int	219	199	- 3	16	_		-	-
	1/1	1794	Sil	1010	17490	2479	2311	10194	49.	Se.	Opparel	w. Inc	4	1
1	641	0.0	21450	1 de ar	/12/14	Gath	214	50.	Int	347		344	witte	þ
1	242	215	240	2.46	Al	201	2.1	202	225	WP?	North	There	-	1
1	their .	92.25	3.411	21899	24928	/1115	140	1041	tent	as		100 J	-	t
	274(3	8.41	236	199	148	145	11	111	342	De	2			ľ
1	math	HIGH	34999	4950	29149	laist	6784	4114		10				1
Į	757	41	2.4	182	176	165	215	Las		1				Ľ
	In)	Salat	1996	19.94	29294	///74	64.05	Sigt	610	//48	20	1.1	1	Τ,
d	1189	201	114	114	11	184	194	de	161	156	144	he	1	Ļ
į	7	1993	\$1.86	ILLIE	24013	24/29	fat	344.5	4181	1711	2174	Ser		h
f	/	192	111	47	194	116	170	147	140	141	239	2.19	-	ł
1		3	(ar	182	104	184	140	137	1 mg	/33	146	20872		ļı
1		29:45	40045	14842	/dely	1.04	+128	46.5	65	1444	Sent.	1114	18	t
		Jart	143	m	165	147	148	145	111	116	144	12.0	120	Ľ
I	4	Stant	Super	Joyn	Typy	3124	204	2134	444	179	100	62.99	1	Ī,
ł	1	122	INT.	163	119	144	141	161	1/2	4	191	11	1	Ľ,
1		4	244	41340	12285	Shert.	0315	Jeel	200	1115	1899	SIG de	K	h
1			ANON	24561	6154	muls	Fiel	Mar.	16243	1249	4130	141	(Acres	ł
			144	144	AZ	44	115	114	1/2	11	4	61	2.8	Ľ
ſ			1262	39991	Mut	$\mathcal{I}_{i}(q) \mathcal{U}$	44423	16730	18981	7683	Jay	4967	创作	Ι,
4	_	-	1196	119	113	10	112	111	1944 	17	60	14	15 -	÷
l				ANT	151DP 42	+1624 W	29547	23974	2,474	1413	471	160	146	
1	-			100	19411	Mary	63/41	2/601	Sth	1447	161	44.	-	t
1				-	4 13	191	41	61	14	1	sr.	3 49	126	Ľ
1	CHART OF				Anta	614.84	14541	1991	440	100	25-	-	51	T
¢	Disto	the Paris	on paper	-	100	7/	75	P3 Mote	140	1	- *	rtangle sala		1
1	1.4	Lastinda	of Longrode		2	AY.	4	65	44	23		266.6	13	Ľ
1	ENG	LANDAN	USCOTL	AND		1447	6910	749	19/0	2	· .	TOTAL ORTHON		T
ł		TAT	of Spens !			Land .	17	п	6ª	-	- "		-	-
1	-	(Lowerse	of lases Fi	***	1	4	16 5		150		2816642			1
1	7	IN CI	WTS.	F	0	-Mins	119	~8	R			126		ľ
h	1	-	-	1	-	24	10				4		1	1
ļ		P	0	n	P	P	0	H	1		T	v	N	ł
			0	10			0	a	-	A		A	14	-
1	MA AR	V.F.G. 19801. 24	N/38.									(Index)	in durg	1.8
0	e andige	390,01. 39	olae			19107	252							



- Cod distribution seems to have shifted steadily north-eastward, towards deeper water
- Haddock catches have moved very little in terms of centre of distribution, but their southern boundary has shifted northwards by approximately 130 km
- Plaice have moved offshore towards the central North Sea (i.e. north-westwards)



Changes in the centre of gravity of plaice distribution



- Longitudinal
- Depth







1920s-2000s: **sole distribution** (cpue normalised by year)

- 1920s: very inshore distribution in SW
- 1930s–1960s: shift/expansion more offshore and more NE (esp. German Bight)
- 1980s–2000s: contraction away from NE and again more inshore, but more limited to SW



Changes in the centre of gravity of **sole** distribution

- Latitudinal
- Longitudinal
- Depth

- Pre-1980s, shifts in CoG of sole appear linked to warmer and cooler climate regimes
- Cold 1910s–1920s: sole limited to (shallow) SW, then during warm 1930s–1950s expansion N- and E, then during cold 1960s–1970s contraction to shallower SW



[Also see presentation A2-6080, ter Hofstede & Rijnsdorp]

There have been big changes in sea surface temperature (SST)

Note the generally close correlation between time series & the overall warming trend during the 20th Century



However there have also been big changes fishing pressure.....

Fishing mortality rates have been higher in the southern North Sea than in the north (Heath et al., 2003, Heath et al., 2007).

Apparent changes in distribution (as indicated by Perry et al. 2005) could simply be a consequence of local patterns of fishing pressure and different rates of depletion in spatially segregated sub-stocks.











..... and significant modification of habitats.

e.g. Maasvlakte is part of the harbour and industrial area of Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

It was created in the 1960s by reclaiming land from the North Sea. Maasvlakte 2 in the next few years will cover 1000 hectares.

There has been considerable public concern that this development will impact the transport and retention of flatfish and herring larvae.

Erftemeijer et al (2009) attempted real-time modelling of hydrodynamic forcing (with wind, air pressure and river discharge) and larval behaviour to establish whether this development is likely to have an effect.

In this case - the impact "will be negligible"

[See presentation A2-6258]

What will distribution shifts mean for fisheries?

• Populations may move away from (or towards) the area where fishing fleets operate.

• Distribution changes may have significant consequences for the distance that must be travelled by fishing boats to reach the target resources with implications for fuel usage and time at sea.

• Also species distributions may migrate across the boundaries where quotas belong to different nations.

 Species may move outside the boundaries of marine protected areas / fishery closure areas

 Incoming species may be commercially exploitable and therefore offer new opportunities for fisheries.

Species may move outside MPA boundaries

The Plaice Box was first established in 1989 to reduce discards of juvenile plaice (i.e. to protect nursery grounds).

Recent surveys in the Wadden Sea have shown that 1-group plaice is almost absent from the area where it once was very abundant.

The 'Plaice Box' is now much less effective as a management measure in comparison with the situation 10 or 15 years ago.

MPA boundaries may need to be 'adaptive' in the future.



[see van Keeken et al., 2007; and presentation A2-6258]

The current debate about North Atlantic Mackerel.....



In 2009 mackerel appeared to have moved away from the Norwegian Sector, resulting in disagreements over permissible catches by Norwegian boats in EU waters.

Norwegian vessels were forcibly evicted from Scottish waters by UK fishery patrol vessels once they had caught their allotted quota

Both Iceland and the Faroe Islands unilaterally claimed additional quota, because mackerel had moved into their waters

Such disagreements may become more common place in the future



EU CLOSES MACKEREI TO NORWE

Norway's pelagic fleet exceeds its quota so stopped from fishing western mackerel in North Sea – report page 3





Incoming species & new fisheries.....



International fishery landings of red mullet *Mullus surmuletus* between 1973 and 2005.

European fishermen have witnessed and responded to a number of new opportunities in recent years, as warm-water species have moved further North and/or their exploitation has become commercially viable for the first time.

Notable examples include new and/or expanding fisheries for seabass, red mullet, john dory, anchovy and squid in the Channel and southern North Sea

Anchovy in the Channel



Will fish become harder to catch?





Perhaps – yes!

•It is known that gear geometry and hence 'catchability' of certain fish species can be greatly influenced by water depth (Godø and Engås 1989).

• Given that Dulvy et al. (2008) found that the whole North Sea fish assemblage has deepened by ~3.6 m per decade since 1981, we might anticipate that traditional target fish will become more difficult to catch.

Consequences for food-webs?







Considerable effort has been dedicated to the digitization of fish stomach content data spanning the period 1884-2010 to look for major changes in food-webs over the past 100 years

The DAPSTOM database (www.cefas.co.uk/dapstom) now contains 175,000 records for 135 fish species and is searchable online.

Using this data it has been possible to compare stomach contents of fish (of similar size) in the Dogger Bank region in 1902-1909, with those in 1950-1959 and 2004-2010

Have North Sea food webs changed????

Sandeels represent a greater proportion of the diet now compared to 100 years ago

Mobile prey (e.g. crabs, hermit crabs) are now more important prey items

Bivalves (in particular *Solen* spp. and *Mactra* spp.) were more important in the past

Callaway et al. (2007) demonstrated that crabs have dramatically increased in abundance since 1902, whereas many slow-growing bivalves have declined.

Were these changes driven by fishing pressure, habitat modification or climate????





HadDalatok



Predicting the future.....

Modelling strategies for predicting the potential impacts of climate change on the distribution of species have often focused on the characterization of a species' 'bioclimate envelope'.

In other words, by looking at the current range of temperatures tolerated by a species, it is possible to predict future distribution, if we know how the physical environment in an area will likely change in the future.

A world-wide analysis has been carried out (Cheung et al. 2009) using this technique, based on 1066 commercial fish and invertebrate species.

[See presentation P1-D1-6105]

Original (static) cod distribution



Cod distribution after 50 years (Climate projection from NOAA/GFDL CM 2.1)



abundance

Relative



Predicting how food-webs might look in the future....

'Ecopath with Ecosim' (EwE) has emerged as one of the most popular and widely applied ecosystem modelling approaches in the marine environment.

At it's core Ecopath is essentially a food-web model, and includes all fluxes between biological components of the system, from detritus and bacteria up to whales.

Ecosim is a time-dynamic version of Ecopath and can be used simulate the long-term or historic impacts of different fishing practices.

Ecosim can be 'tuned' to fit real time-series data or 'forced' using assumptions about fishing and long-term (and seasonal) climate or plankton productivity.





The impact of climate change and fishing on food-webs in Lake Victoria.....

Approaches:

•Ecosystem modelling

•Top down pressure

 Bottom up climate forcing

What data are available to us?



[See poster P1-D1-6199]

The impact of climate change and fishing on food-webs in Lake Victoria.....

•Results vary dramatically depending on the demographic (human population) and climate scenario selected

 Outcomes were chiefly dependant on future fishing pressure in Lake Victoria

• High fishing effort results in return of Haplochromine sp. biomass

• Greater understanding of the relationship between primary production and climate is needed urgently



Biomass under A2 - Effort capped using Fox Analysis - High Growth Production





Conclusions & future plans.....

- There have been major changes in the distribution of North Sea fish species and the prey that they consume
- 2. It is very difficult to separate the influence of long-term climate change from the effects of fishing and habitat modification
- 3. Fisheries in the North Sea are already being affected by changes in fish distribution (for better or worse)
- Techniques are being developed to predict what North Sea fish communities might look like in the future and how food-webs might change







Volcanic Ash cloud - 1530GMT 15 April

Acknowledgements

EU-RECLAIM, EU-INCOFISH, UK Defra-MF1202, MF1109

