BOWHEAD WHALE

- Largest baleen whale in the Arctic
- Only mysticete whale endemic to the Arctic
- Thick blubber and skin with no dorsal fin





METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

DISTRIBUTION

Bowhead whales are widely distributed all over the Eastern Canadian Arctic. Sea ice is a major factor influencing seasonal distribution.

Population range and summer areas



One bowhead individual movement over 8 months



Pomerleau et al. ESR 2011 (In Review) INTRODUCTION OBJECTIVES METHODS RESULTS CONCLUSION

Eastern Canada-West-Greenland Bowhead Population



- Special Concern in Canada



Bowhead whales are still at risk of becoming threatened or endangered

- Extremely low natural growth rate and low fecundity
- Climate change
- Increase human activities and predation exposure

INTRODUCTION OBJECTIVES METHODS RESULTS CONCLUSION

Potential impacts of climate change on foraging success

- Changes in ice regime

Trophic coupling between primary production, zooplankton and higher trophic levels

- Warming ocean temperature



Sea ice extent Structure of water masses Prey abundance & distribution

- More warmer-water zooplankton species are expanding their range northward (Beaugrand *et al.* 2009)

It is unknown how the foraging success of bowhead whales will be impacted by changes in the Arctic environment.

Do bowhead whales occupy a narrow feeding niche?

Are they vulnerable to changes in abundance of preferred prey?

OBJECTIVE<u>S</u>

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

Stable Isotopes (SI)

- Tracers in environmental studies

- Major tool in feeding ecology to study trophic structure of ecosystems



Stable Isotopes (SI)

 $^{15}N/^{14}N$ ($\delta^{15}N$): Determine trophic level in a food chain, trophic relationships.

 $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ($\delta^{13}C$): Indicates carbon sources, provide information on spatial habitat use, detect energy flow in the food web (Fry 1998).

Advantages to the stable isotopes approach in dietary reconstruction studies of free ranging marine mammals include:

- Non-invasive technique
- Time-integrated diet (e.g. 70-75 days for skin)
- Reflect ingested and assimilated food

Stable isotopes mixing model

Basic example (Phillips and Gregg 2003 - IsoSource)





ROBUST TECHNIQUE:

- Take into account the substantial and multiple sources of uncertainty in all parameters
- Large number of sources
- Incorporate variability as input parameters (i.e. TEF)
- Flexible and customizable
- You get an honest representation of the estimate of diet proportions

SIAR package (R) (Parnell et al. 2010 PlosOne) MixSIR (equivalent package - Matlab) (Moore & Semmens 2008)

OBJECTIVES

Identify primary prey species of the bowhead whales

1) Examining diet using stable isotope ratios of carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N)

2) Examining the stomach contents from four bowhead whales



OBJECTIVES

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

Sample collection – Whales

- Bowhead whale skin biopsies collected with a crossbow.
- 190 skin biopsies (2007-2009)
- The majority of the samples from Igloolik, Foxe Basin.





OBJECTIVES

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

Sample collection - Zooplankton

Zooplankton samples were collected at 25 stations (2007-2009) using a set of vertically towed bongo nets.



Sample collection - Stomachs

Four stomach samples were collected by Inuit during subsistence harvests in the Canadian Arctic.



Consumer (Bowhead whale) Groups



INTRODUCTION OBJECTIVES METHODS RESULTS CONCLUSION

Consumer (Bowhead whale) Groups



INTRODUCTION OBJECTIVES METHODS RESULTS CONC

Sources (Zooplankton) Groups

Based on physical properties (temperature and salinity) and species composition (abundance) => 4 Groups



Pomerleau C., Winkler G, Ferguson SH, Nelson J, Sastrii A, Vagle S. (In prep)

RESULTS Bayesian mixing model





Bowhead whale isotopic signatures are outside the prey values (TEF already integrated).

Signatures of prey sources failed to explain the consumer signatures.

Not a feasible solution.



Raw isotopic data plot of δ^{13} C vs. δ^{15} N





Bowhead whale isotopic signatures (blue circle) are inside the prey "polygon" (geometry of the mixing space).

This predator-prey diet scenario is a feasible solution.

Raw isotopic data plot of δ^{13} C vs. δ^{15} N



Gulf of Boothia



Lancaster Sound



OBJECTIVES

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

BOWHEAD WHALE GROUP 4



Euphausid, Calanus sp., Paraeuchaeta sp., and Metridia longa are the most important prey items

OBJECTIVES

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

BOWHEAD WHALE GROUP 1



Mysis oculata, Euphausid, Paraeuchaeta sp. and Chaetognath are the most important prey items

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

BOWHEAD WHALE GROUP 2



Apherusa glacialis, Calanus sp., Limacina helicina and Chaetognath are the most important prey items

METHODS

RESULTS

CONCLUSION

BOWHEAD WHALE GROUP 3



Euphausid, Chaetognath, Paraeuchaeta sp., Mysis oculata are the most important prey items

RESULTS Stomach contents All four whales had food in their stomachs.

Mysis oculata dominated two whale samples (Kugaaruk & Kangiqsujuaq).

Also found: Onisimus sp., Themisto sp., Calanus sp., benthic isopods and decapods



CONCLUSION

- 1) Specialization within bowhead whales.
- 2) For a given group, targeted prey are the same regardless of the region exploited.
- 3) Davis Strait appears not to represent an important feeding ground for bowhead whales in late spring or early summer.
- 4) Lancaster Sound, Baffin Bay and Gulf of Boothia are all regions where bowhead whales may feed during summer.