

### Fish habitat interactions under anthropogenic and climatic forcing

Michel J. Kaiser Jan G. Hiddink Hilmar Hinz



School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, UK

### **Fish habitat considerations**

Fish habitat has a number of functions

- Provision of refugia or breeding/spawning habitat
- Provision of food

## Both functions are affected by fishing .....and climate forcing







### **Key model parameters**

Spatially resolved data for:

Chla = food for benthos = carrying capacity Shear stress = supply of food for benthos Wave erosion = key limiting factor (mortality) Bottom fishing = mortality/competitive release Habitat type





### Fishing mortality - reduces carrying capacity for fish



The direct effects of different types of fishing gear were strongly habitat-specific.

Kaiser et al. 2006 MEPS



## Fishing can radically depress production in some habitats but not others

Shear from currents = food supply Erosion from waves = mortality

Two scenarios shown for 4 different habitat types

Trawling intensity derived from Vessel Monitoring System data.

HIddink et al. 2006 Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.



#### **Trawling impact on nematodes**



Irish Sea



Sabatieria Aponema Calomicrolaimus Halalaimus Fladen Ground positive,  $R^2 = 0.42$ positive,  $R^2 = 0.33$ negative,  $R^2 = 0.52$ negative,  $R^2 = 0.46$ 

HInz, Hiddink, Forde & Kaiser (in press) Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.



0.25 - 0.5

#### Removal of effort: slow recovery

Relocation of effort: negative effect on benthic biomass and production – resulting in net loss of fish habitat in the short-term

## Without effort reduction, spatial closures only have a minor (positive or negative) impact on overall benthic ecosystems.

Hiddink, Hutton, Jennings & Kaiser 2006 ICES J. Mar. Sci.

### Observed recovery rates



Data from large-scale observational studies of recovery after the removal of fishing activity. Hiddink et al. 2006 Ecosystems

#### **Ecological impact of the plaice box**

Gear restriction area (MPA) : Only small trawlers, effort in box reduced >90%. Effort outside box increased

Aim: to reduce the bycatch of undersized plaice in a nursery ground.



### **Ecological impact of the plaice box**

Gear restriction area (MPA) : Only small trawlers, effort in box reduced >90%. Effort outside box increased

Aim: to reduce the bycatch of undersized plaice in a nursery ground.

Ineffective: Juvenile plaice have moved out of plaice box after implementation

Hiddink et al. in press CJFAS





Plaice eat small

**Effect of trawling Total benthic** production: down Small worm production: up

### Implications of understanding physics for site selection

- •Apparently similar habitats differ in their carrying capacity due to limiting factors (stressors and food supply)
- •These limiting factors are particular prone to change in the coastal zone





# The power of prediction for management

- Our improved understanding of the interaction between physics and biology enables us to identify gradients of production based on empirical observations
- This can be applied to calculate likely changes in habitat quality





Kaiser et al. 2006 Ibis

Note different scales

### A platform for scenario predictions

We can now predict the spatial extent of areas that yield different levels of production and hence carrying capacity.

As wave erosion is a key driver it is possible to highlight those areas most prone to change

This has implications for future-proofing of MPAs



## Implications of research

- In a context of spatial management our findings underline the following points:
- It is imperative to understand the implications of spatial management and how this will affect fishers' behaviour
- Climatic forcing has the potential to radically alter the productivity of shallow inshore areas
- Any spatial management measures should be viewed as adaptive and not permanent to permit future adjustment