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## Why Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)?

- Anthropogenic influence and natural variability (including climate change) are superimposed
$\rightarrow$ Large and well established MPAs are mostly free of anthropogenic influence
$\rightarrow$ Measure of natural variability (short-term) and climate change (long-term) as baseline for exploited areas




## Why Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)?

- Anthropogenic influence and natural variability (including climate change) are superimposed
$\rightarrow$ Large and well established MPAs are mostly free of anthropogenic influence
$\rightarrow$ Measure of natural variability (short-term) and climate change (long-term) as baseline for exploited areas
- Do MPAs work? (in South Africa)
$\rightarrow$ Movement behavior of species



## Red Roman Chrysoblephus laticeps, SPARIDAE

## Protogynous Hermaphrodite

## Movement beh Dion of Ronnen



# Movement behevior of Roman 

## Spawning season:

 $\therefore$ Fenaales engagein aggressivequelaviola(spavinimgarelated)



## Marine reserves: Sizes

Goukamma MPA (40km²)


## Sampling design

- Oceanographic survey



## Oceanography

## Bathymetry



## Oceanography
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## Sampling design

- Oceanographic survey
- Density
- Size



## Roman density



Roman density male
0-15
$16 \cdot 30$
31.45

46-60
61-75
$76 \cdot 90$
91-105
18 Years after MPA implementation


## Target MPAs for baseline

## Sample area size: fixed site vs. spatial sampling

- Low variability;
- Difficult to relocate (low visibility);
- Trampling effects (mortality, habitat destruction, behavior; Vos et al. 2000);
- Chance disturbances (pollution, sedimentation, wave action; Nowlis and Friedlander 2004);
- Lack of representativity (habitat complexity, benthos patchiness);
- Non-randomness (more biased\&weaker statistical methods; Vos et al. 2000);
- Pseudo-replication (benthos and resident fish).


## Randomly stratified approach



# Test of suitability of methods 

Fish community:

- Controlled angling
- UW counts




## UW counts:

## Two years of

 biannual fieldtrips Survey of same site using transects and point counts

Indices

## Test of additional methods

- Observer bias
- Noise over bias approach (Vos et al. 2000)
=> digital UW footage: observer bias free \& noise reduced



## Digital UW footage



## Baited Remote Underwater Video (BRUV)



Remotely
Operated Vehicle (ROV)


## Benthic

 invertebrates (intertidal and subtidal)Public participation (test of observers)


Develop a long-term monitoring strategy for South African near-shore reef biota
$\Rightarrow$ Standardized protocols with tested \& costeffective methods
$\Rightarrow$ Baseline sites in all biogeographic zones
$\Rightarrow$ Network of sites for resource monitoring in exploited areas


